From: <u>bdhollinger</u>

To: <u>David Turton; Annilene McRobb; Geoff Gunson; Ed Podniewicz; Ron Elliott; Judy Dirksen; Paul Zimmerman; Jean</u>

<u>Anderson</u>

Subject: June 18th, 2024 Council Meeting Date: June 24, 2024 9:57:50 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from important

Learn why this is

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of The Town of Minto. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and/or know the contents to be safe.

Mayor Turton and Council Members:

Please include in Correspondence Re: June 18th, 2024 Council Meeting

Thank you all for your service to our community. Your decision on the 18th did not support democracy in that there were a great number of people in opposition to your upcoming decision to support pride's expectations. While you have been elected to make decisions, those opposed have made their strong stance known. These are the people who pay for and undergird this community. The decision appeared finalized beforehand but a need to appease. Why such fear to apply democracy? While well intended, the decision has created further division with predictable undesirable outcomes.

Mayor Turton read the following statement: "The town of Minto cannot support a by-law to ensure crosswalks, flags, banners on public property remain neutral." Displays to 'appear" neutral is not neutrality. Removal of the displays provides neutrality. The petition states "no displays in public spaces of a political, social, religious or commercial entity save and except the Royal Canadian Legion banners which are "grandfathered." It is not just about funding—but showing Minto supports as a whole. We don't.

The council meeting brought up a number of issues/questions for me:

- 1) Failure or unwillingness to understand neutrality. Have any voices been sought by Council to speak to the other side of DEI/pride ideology?
- 2) Conflict of interest. Deputy Mayor Anderson has a trans grandson making non bias difficult. A Pride Committee within our government (not a political issue.) is quoted "Council will never abandon us." Why the assumed alliance?

How much funding is Minto receiving to focus on DEI issues? Diversity occurs by default with inclusion. Why a pride committee within our governmental office? Arguments and dissension decrease inclusion so why promote division—going

against Minto's Strategic Plan? Might it make sense to entertain a round table of voices that can unify rather than divide?

The petition was never about "them" rather they inserted themselves claiming victimhood. Having reflected upon comments, they amount to hatred directed at those opposed to their views—implying hatred on Council's part if you don't grant their wishes. Not once did their presentation express kindness, respect or inclusion toward non-supporters. Instead, arrogantly accusatory and hateful statements. A list of accusations quoted if we do not support their symbols:

- 1) support suicide of 2SLGBTQIA+ youth
- 2) stunting of optimal child development
- 3) supporting intergenerational hate towards youth of 2SLGBTQIA+ community
- 4) sending a very clear message to Ontario that we support suicide, self-harm and intergenerational hate
- 5) support children dying.
- 6) Causing people to move or choose to live elsewhere

Is this your thinking with regard to individuals who don't support or bow to their views? If so that's hatred. Is that what the Pride Committee believes and talks about at "round table? Does such hatred sit okay with you?

and her comrades spoke for visibility, respect, diversity and inclusion. Did you miss the accusatory and hateful comments-- loaded and lengthy requiring a slow and careful review to digest? What was the purpose of the delegation with decision already made? Was it Media coverage, a show or educating supposed blind dummies?



By their own presentation, symbols are not working. Symbols don't provide support or inclusion. In this case, division is escalating—Minto encouraging it. It has been rightfully questioned—did pride supporters damage their own displays and blame non supporters?

They complain of being bullied, yet bully others. They want 100% agreement. Their ideological views as a whole are targeting Minto's children in publicly funded

schools (bottom up indoctrination.) Their foundational ideology resembles a cult that attempts to have others attend, join and validate beliefs they themselves question—the "Q." (See slide 6 on 9B of the delegation.) Hatred directed at their own is well documented. Those who have left the cult are crying out for people to see the destruction: "gender surgery" more accurately termed body mutilation. Drugs to alter gender—castration/sterilization. These are actual existential threats to society. (Effectively causing sterility.) I am not sure you understand this but at the very least a neutral stance wouldn't directly support it.

Although "recruit" a more formal word was used in the meeting, the underlying termite that forms their ideology amounts to such.

- 1) Children questioning who/what they are as young as pre-schoolers.
- 2) Wrapped into the school system like a cult.
- 3) Vigilance to curb language thought and expression—anything not supporting their views
- 4) Heterosexual children need to "participate in parades, walk across coloured cross-walks and see flags and symbols as accepted." Expected attendance to flag raising—amounting to abuse on children and families. SOGI (Sexual Orientation Gender Identification) in Canadian Schools. (See video below)

Numerous surrounding communities aspire to rise above their hateful agenda through neutral spaces. It told Minto Council that if you don't support their symbols you are "sending a very clear message to the rest of Ontario that we support intergenerational hate towards the 2SLGBQTIA+ community, we don't care about kids self-harming, attempting or committing suicide and that these children's lives are worth less than their heterosexual peers' lives." Notice the absence of youth in general—hence my comment re questioning stats? It is the number among the entire youth population. 2SLGBTQIA+ youth have significantly higher numbers of mental illness than general population of youth—making their numbers seem alarming by comparison. (Notice it isn't about "youth" just 2SLGBTQIA+ youth.) "Gender Dysphoria" is listed as mental illness.(See the DSM IV.)

Doesn't every child matter? Did you notice the threatening language used towards you? She's told you lack of support (neutral spaces) makes you guilty of the above serious offences. Council tolerates this child-like behaviour? Would you tolerate from your own? Did you fail to hear her message? They have portrayed themselves as victims making demands you have have now bowed. Did you note what wasn't said? Nothing about OUR children— just their own. No mention of respect or kindness for non supporters. No respect or kindness shown within meeting or outside—laughter and disrespect seen on camera and outside.

If you are interested in information regarding the underlying agenda behind DEI/pride please reach out. I suspect that if you knew the underlying ideology you

would not choose to support it. I do not want it displayed in my public spaces suggesting I support it. I not only do not support it I stand against it.

Does pride stand against bullying or just if directed at them? Does Council support their bullying? I personally would exclude anyone who behaved as such. The more they push, the less inclusive I am likely to be.

Sincerely

Bonnie Hollinger

Information Re SOGI:

CBC News Episode; Addresses the Canadian School curriculum SOGI https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xNOBlyvaPKo

bdhollinger