## NOTICE OF DECISION OF COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT WITH REASONS RE APPLICATION FOR File No. MV-2024-05 Minor Variance – s.45(1)

NAME OF COMMITTEE: The Town of Minto Committee of Adjustment

RE AN APPLICATION BY: Mervin Weber

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 6721 Wellington Rd 109

LOCATION OF PROPERTY: CON D PT LOT 113 RP 60R2929; PART 3 PART 4

The purpose and effect of this Minor Variance application is to provide relief from Sections 8.5.2.3 and 6.31 of the Town of Minto's Comprehensive Zoning By-law 01-86, as amended. This relief is intended to facilitate the construction of a 3-car detached garage (an accessory structure) with a reduction to both the front yard and centerline street setbacks. Section 8.5.2.3 requires a minimum front yard setback of 18.3m (60.0'), whereas 8.8m (28'-10") is proposed. Section 6.31 requires a minimum centerline setback of 33.28 meters (109.2 feet), whereas 27 meters (89 feet) is proposed.

WE, the undersigned, in making the decision upon this application, have considered whether or not the variance requested was minor and desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land, building or structure, and that the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law and the Official Plan will be maintained or, in the case of a change in the use of property which is lawfully non-conforming under the By-law, as to whether or not this application has met the requirements of subsection 45(2) of *The Planning Act*, concur in the following decision and reasons for decision made on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of August 2024.

DECISION: <u>Denies</u> the application by Mervin Weber for property legally described as CON D PT LOT 113 RP 60R2929; PART 3 PART 4, municipally known as 6721 Wellington Rd 109, in the Town of Minto, to provide relief from Sections 8.5.2.3 and 6.31 which requires a minimum front yard setback of 18.3m (60.0') and a minimum centerline setback of 33.28 meters (109.2 feet).

## CONDITIONS: N/A

REASONS FOR DECISION: The Committee believing the request not to be minor or desirable for the appropriate development of the lands and does not maintain the intent and purpose of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law, has denied the application.

A brief explanation of the effect, if any that the written and oral submissions had on the decision:

Town of Minto staff comments.

Wellington County Planner comments.

Maitland Valley Conservation Authority comments.

Signature of member	Signature of	member	Signature of member
Signature of member	Signature of member		Signature of member
Chair			
Appeal – The last date for filing a no must be filed with the Secretary-Tre and the reasons in support of the cland Tribunal. For more informatic Municipal Office.	easurer of the Commobjection and must on about appeal rig	nittee and must be accompanie	set out the objection to the decision d by the fee required by the Ontario
Amount of Fee payable on appeal is	\$ \$400.00.		
Other applications - If known, indic	ate if the subject lar	nd is the subject	t of an application under the Act for:
Application Type	File Number		Status
Plan of Subdivision (Section 51)			
Consent (Section 53)			
Previous application (Section 45)			
I, Annilene McRobb, certify that the Committee with respect to the appli		d herein is a tru	e copy of the decision of the
Dated this 13 <sup>th</sup> day of August 2024		Signat	ure of Secretary-Treasurer
Personal information contained on purposes of that Act. Questions sho at the institution conducting the pro	uld be directed to the	ne Freedom of I	